

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMM United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

**EXAMINER** 

TRAN, DIEM T

CONFIRMATION NO. ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR 10/623,941 07/21/2003

> 7590 08/25/2004

Michael R. Foster

DP-309380

6481

Jimmy L. Funke

Delphi Technologies, Inc.

M/C 480-410-202 P.O. Box 5052 Troy, MI 48007

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3748

DATE MAILED: 08/25/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		1 1 1
1	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/623,941	FOSTER, MICHAEL R. V
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Diem Tran	3748
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CI after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicatic  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory p - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rein. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT statute, cause the application to become AB/	ply be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  THS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on		
-,	This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is		
closed in accordance with the practice un	der <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D.	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are pending in the applica	ation.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	hdrawn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	ind/or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exa		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
·		
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ie Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action of form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	reign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority docur</li> </ol>	ments have been received.	
<ol><li>Certified copies of the priority docu</li></ol>		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the		received in this National Stage
application from the International B		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	a list of the certified copies not i	received.
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		ummary (PTO-413)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S	, – –	)/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152)
2) [2] Information Disclosure Statement(s) (P10-1449 of P10/S Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	

Art Unit: 3748

#### DETAILED ACTION

#### Claim Objections

Claim 15 is objected to because on line 2, --at least one of-- should be inserted following "during"; and "and/or" should read --and--. Appropriate correction is required.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1, 5-7, 11-13, 17, 18, 20-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Glugla et al. (US patent 6,389,806).

Regarding claims 1, 12, 17, 20-21, 23, 24, Glugla discloses an exhaust system for a vehicle having an internal combustion engine with a plurality of cylinders, comprising:

an exhaust manifold for providing fluid communication of exhaust of the plurality of cylinders to a catalytic converter, said exhaust manifold comprising a first exhaust pipe portion and a second exhaust pipe portion, said first exhaust pipe portion being in fluid communication with said second exhaust pipe portion and said second exhaust pipe portion being in fluid communication with said catalytic converter, said first and second exhaust pipe portion providing a first and second fluid path for exhaust of a first and second plurality of cylinders of the engine (see Figure 1); and a controller for

Art Unit: 3748

determining whether to deactivate said first plurality of cylinders in accordance with a predetermined engine starting condition, wherein deactivation of said first plurality of cylinders causes said second plurality of cylinders to operate at a condition corresponding to an engine output demand (see col. 1, lines 62-67, col. 2, lines 1-2, col. 5, lines 15-23, col. 6, lines 39-48); wherein an exhaust of a first temperature is expelled by said second plurality of cylinders into said catalytic converter, said first temperature being greater than an exhaust temperature that would be generated by said first and said second plurality of cylinders operating at said condition corresponding to said engine output demand (see col. 5, lines 19-24); however, fails to disclose that said second fluid path is shorter than the first fluid path.

With regard to the limitation directed to the length of the second fluid path and first fluid path, it is the examiners position that the such would have been an obvious matter of design choice well within the level of ordinary skill in the art depending on the location of the engine, available spacing for each exhaust gas path, etc... Moreover, there is nothing in the record which establishes that the claimed ranges present a novel or unexpected result (see In re Kuhle, 526 F.2d 553, 188 USPQ 7(CCPA 1975)).

Regarding claim 2, Glugla further discloses that said first plurality of cylinders and said second plurality of cylinders are on opposite sides of the engine (see Figure 1).

Regarding claims 5-7, 11, 13, 18, Glugla further discloses that said controller receives input signals from a plurality of sensors in order to determine if the engine is being started in said predetermined engine starting condition which is a "cold start" of the engine (see col. 5, lines 15-23).

Art Unit: 3748

Regarding claims 22, 25, Glugla further discloses activating said predetermined cylinders and deactivating the remaining cylinders when said catalytic converter has reached an effective operating temperature (see col. 7, lines 17-23).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3, 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Glugla et al. (US patent 6,389,806) in view of design choice.

Regarding claims 3, 4, Glugla discloses all the claimed limitations as discussed in claim 1 above, however, fails to disclose that said first plurality of cylinders and said second plurality of cylinders are on the same side of the engine.

With regard to the limitation directed to the position of the first and second group of cylinders, it is the examiners position that the such would have been an obvious matter of design choice well within the level of ordinary skill in the art depending on the preferred shape of the engine (V-shape or straight type engine), etc...Moreover, there is nothing in the record which establishes that the claimed ranges present a novel or unexpected result (see In re Kuhle, 526 F.2d 553, 188 USPQ 7(CCPA 1975)).

Art Unit: 3748

Claims 8, 9, 10, 14-16, 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Glugla et al. (US patent 6,389,806) in view of Ma (US Patent 6,023,929).

Regarding claims 8, 14, 19, Glugla discloses all the claimed limitations as discussed in claims 1, 13, 18 above, however, fails to disclose that said controller deactivates said predetermined cylinders by closing intake and exhaust valves of said predetermined cylinders. Ma recognizes that prior art devices include deactivating the predetermined cylinders by deactivating both intake and exhaust valves (see col. 1, lines 29-32).

It would have been obvious for one having ordinary kill in the art, to utilize the teaching of Ma in the Glugla device, since the use thereof would have routinely in the prior art.

Regarding claims 9, 10, 15, 16, Glugla further discloses that air is periodically allowed into combustion chambers of said predetermined cylinders during operation of the engine (see col. 2, lines 6-12).

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Diem Tran whose telephone number is (703) 308-6073. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday -Friday from 8:00 a.m.- 5:30p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas E. Denion, can be reached on (703) 308-2623. The fax number for this group is (703) 872-9306.

Art Unit: 3748

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0861.

Diem Tran

Patent Examiner

Drendran

Art unit 3748

DT

August 20, 2004

Thomas Denc THOMAS DENION

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700